

Submitted to About the Act and Code Review
Submitted on 2024-07-31 04:10:58

Your details

1 What is your name?

Name:
[Redacted]

2 What is your email address?

Email:
[Redacted]

3 Are you submitting as an individual, or on behalf of an organisation or group?

I am submitting as an individual

4 How did you hear about this consultation?

Select from the following options:
Word of mouth

If you selected other, please specify below:

Questions for individuals

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

Questions for organisations/groups

1 Name of your organisation or group (if applicable)

Organisation:

2 Type of organisation/group (if applicable)

Organisation - type of organisation/group/ropū :

Please feel free to provide any further detail below:

Topic 5: Minor and technical improvements

5.1 What do you think about the issues and our suggestions for minor and technical improvements, and what impacts could they have?

Please add your response below:

5.g. clearly does not reflect the circumstances in which sedations occur in emergency situations. Furthermore, every additional piece of paperwork completed (of which there are many) reduces the time spent on other tasks and thereby increases morbidity and mortality of other patients in the department. Similar reflections for 5.h.

A lot of the changes proposed in this document/survey do not reflect our healthcare system's current status as being immensely overstretched and underresourced. Please be mindful of sanctions you put in place that have real potential to add to the workloads and moral injury of already exhausted doctors. Several of your proposed changes (eg 5.b, which really isn't much of a difference in deterrence) have strong themes that may be punitive towards health care workers - why?

For context, I am an emergency physician at the end of specialist training.

5.2 What other minor and technical improvements, both legislative and non-legislative, should we consider?

Please add your response below:

This is not a minor issue:

Improving response and resolution times for healthcare workers. The psychological distress this places on individuals is immense, whether found to be at fault or not. This is a reflection of experiences shared by others, and observations made of close friends who have suffered from the long wait time for HDC decision making. Doctors (healthcare workers) are humans with human responses, and need to be considered and prioritised, too. Would you consider engaging a team of clinical psychologists to review your processes to ensure they meet best practice in keeping healthcare workers (psychologically and otherwise) safe, too?

That aside, thank you for what you do to keep patients/public safe with the healthcare they receive.

5.3 What are your main concerns about advancing technology and its impact on the rights of people accessing health and disability services?

Please add your response below:

5.4 What changes, both legislative and non-legislative, should we consider to respond to advancing technology?

Please add your response below:

Publishing and data protection

May we publish your submission?

Yes, but please remove my name/my organisation

Please note any part(s) of your submission you do not want published::

My name and any clearly identifying information including email address.

Reasons to withhold parts of your submission

Yes, I would like HDC to consider withholding parts of my submission from responses to OIA requests.:

Yes

I think these parts of my submission should be withheld, for these reasons: :

Name and personal information including email address.

If needed, can we to contact you to follow up for more detail on your submission?

Yes, you can contact me

Would you like to receive updates about the review?